

**Due Date: October 27, 2005**

**Problem 1:** Let  $R$  be a set of  $n$  rectangles in the plane. Describe an algorithm that reports all  $k$  pairs of intersecting rectangles in time  $O(n \log n + k)$  time.

**(Hint:** Use a sweep-line algorithm and maintain a segment tree.)

**Solution by Mason Matthews.** Since queries on a segment tree take  $O(\lg_2 n)$  time, I will not use the segment tree as described in the notes. Instead, I will sweep a vertical line from left to right (in order of increasing  $x$  coordinate) and maintain a binary tree that stores the horizontal edges of the rectangles.

First, sort the vertical edges of the rectangles by  $x$ -coordinate. This takes  $O(n \lg n)$  time. Next, consider them in increasing order. If a left vertical edge corresponding to rectangle  $r_i$  is reached, add  $r_i$ 's horizontal (top and bottom) edges to the binary tree. Then use the vertical edge as a query on the tree. Output a pairing with any rectangle  $r_j$  if one of  $r_j$ 's horizontal edges intersect the vertical query edge.

When the right edge of a rectangle is reached, remove its horizontal edges from the tree and repeat the query. Each query takes  $O(\lg n + k_i)$  time, where  $k_i$  is the number of rectangles that intersect with the  $i$ th vertical edge. Since there are  $2n$  total queries, the line sweep takes  $O(n \lg n + k)$  time. Therefore, the total running time of this algorithm is  $O(n \lg n + k)$ .

**Problem 2:** Show that the space requirement of the 2-dimensional orthogonal range searching can be improved to  $O(n)$ , provided we allow query time to be  $O(n^\epsilon)$ , for any arbitrarily small constant  $\epsilon > 0$ . Of course, the constant of proportionality depends on  $\epsilon$ . What is the preprocessing time?

**(Hint:** Store the secondary structures only at certain levels of the primary tree.)

**Solution.** Create the the range tree as usual but do not construct secondary trees  $T_{\text{assoc}}(v)$  at every node of the primary tree  $\mathcal{T}$ . We create secondary trees for nodes at only a constant number of levels. Create secondary trees for nodes at every  $1/\delta$  levels of  $\mathcal{T}$  where  $\delta > 0$  is a constant.

The primary tree requires  $O(n)$  storage and we create secondary trees at a constant number of levels with secondary trees on the same level requiring  $O(n)$  storage in total. The total storage requirement is therefore  $O(n)$ . The preprocessing time remains the same at  $O(n \lg n)$ .

There are two steps in a query. First, search for the  $x$ -coordinate interval of the range query in the primary tree  $\mathcal{T}$ . This takes  $O(\lg n)$  time returning  $O(\lg n)$  nodes of  $\mathcal{T}$ . For each node  $v$  returned, we perform a query on the  $y$ -coordinate of the range query in the secondary structure  $T_{\text{assoc}}(v)$  at  $v$ . However,  $v$  may not contain a secondary structure so we must descend down the subtree  $S_v \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  rooted at  $v$  until we find a level of  $S_v$  with secondary trees. For each node  $v$ , we have to descend at most  $\delta \lg n$  levels. Once we have found the level containing secondary trees, we

have to perform a query on each of the nodes at this level. There are  $2^{\delta \lg n} = n^\delta$  nodes at this level each with a secondary tree of size  $O(n/n^\delta) = O(n^{1-\delta})$ . A query at every node in this level takes time  $O(n^\delta \lg n^{1-\delta} + k_v)$  where  $k_v$  is the number of points returned. We do this  $\lg n$  times for each node  $v$  returned by the first step. The total query time is

$$\begin{aligned} O(n^\delta \lg n \lg n^{1-\delta} + k) &= O(n^\delta \lg n \lg n + k) \\ &= O(n^\delta + k) \text{ for sufficiently large } n \\ &= O(n^\epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

**Problem 3:** A circular disk of radius  $r$  centered at point  $c \in \mathbb{R}^2$  is the set  $D = \{x \mid \|x - c\| \leq r\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{D} = \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$  be a set of  $n$  circular disks in the plane. Let  $U$  be the union of the disks in  $\mathcal{D}$ . Show that  $U$  has  $O(n)$  vertices. Describe an algorithm for computing  $U$ .

**(Hint:** Show that each  $D_i$  can be mapped to a halfspace  $H_i$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  so that each point in  $U$  maps to  $\bigcap_i H_i$ .)

**Solution by Mason Matthews.** If we assume that the center of a disk is given by the pair  $(\mu_x, \mu_y)$  and its radius by  $r$ , then the formula for the disk is  $(x - \mu_x)^2 + (y - \mu_y)^2 \leq r^2$ . To represent this as a halfspace in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we need to linearize the equation. If we define  $z = x^2 + y^2$  and perform some simple algebra, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (x - \mu_x)^2 + (y - \mu_y)^2 &\leq r^2 \\ x^2 - 2x\mu_x + \mu_x^2 + y^2 - 2y\mu_y + \mu_y^2 &\leq r^2 \\ z &\leq 2x\mu_x + 2y\mu_y - \mu_x^2 - \mu_y^2 + r^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $r$ ,  $\mu_x$ , and  $\mu_y$  are constants. If this is the  $i$ th disk, then the inequality above defines  $H_i$ .

Consider  $\bigcap_i H_i^C$ , the intersection of the complements of all these halfspaces. This will be a convex polyhedron  $C$  which is unbounded above. Since a vertex in  $U$  is the intersection of two disk boundaries (say of disks  $j$  and  $k$ ), it is represented in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  by the intersection of two hyperplanes. Let us call this intersection of the two hyperplanes line  $l_{ij}$ . However, recall that our points must lie on the paraboloid  $z = x^2 + y^2$ . This paraboloid can intersect with a given  $l_{ij}$  once, twice, or never (implying at most 2 intersections between a pair of circles). Since there are only  $O(n)$  edges on a convex polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , there are  $O(n)$  intersections between circles, and therefore  $O(n)$  vertices in  $U$ . It is also worth noting that the edges in  $U$  correspond to the intersection of the paraboloid with the faces of  $C$ .

An algorithm for computing this union follows from these concepts. First, compute each of the  $H_i^C$  halfspaces and find their intersection. This is equivalent to computing the convex hull, which takes  $O(n \lg n)$  time in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Next, for each edge in the convex hull, check for intersections with the parabola  $z = x^2 + y^2$ . For each intersection, use the equation for the corresponding hyperplane to

map it back into  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . This will provide the vertices of  $U$ , and this can be done in  $O(n)$  total time. Edges can be computed in  $U$  in  $O(n \lg n)$  time as well. For each vertex in  $U$  (point on an edge in  $C$ ), consider the two faces in  $C$  surrounding it. For each face, the other edge intersecting the parabola can be found in  $O(\lg n)$  time. Following these pairs can yield the cycles in the union.

The total running time of this algorithm is  $O(n \lg n)$ .

**Problem 4:** The *farthest neighbor Voronoi diagram* of a set  $S$  of points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , denoted by  $\text{Vor}_f(S)$ , is the decomposition of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  into maximal connected regions so that the farthest point of  $S$  from any point within each region (under the Euclidean metric) is the same.

- (i) Show that  $\text{Vor}_f(S)$  in the plane is a tree.

**Solution by Mason Matthews.** Suppose that there exists a bounded region  $r$  in  $\text{Vor}_f(S)$ . There exists a point  $s_i \in S$  such that all points in  $r$  are farther from  $s_i$  than any other points in  $S$ . Choose any point in  $r$  and follow the line that moves directly away from  $s_i$ . Since  $r$  is bounded, following this line will lead to a different region. This implies that another point  $s_j$  is now farther away than  $s_i$ . However, since we increased our distance from  $s_i$  at the fastest possible rate, the distance from  $s_j$  could never have overtaken it. Therefore, our assumption is false, and there are no bounded regions in  $\text{Vor}_f(S)$ . Since all regions are unbounded, there are no cycles in the graph of  $\text{Vor}_f(S)$ . Therefore,  $\text{Vor}_f(S)$  is a tree.

- (ii) What is the complexity of  $\text{Vor}_f(S)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ?

**Solution.** Given  $n$  points  $S$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , linearize each point  $s = (s_1, \dots, s_d) \in S$  to a hyperplane  $e(s)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The hyperplane  $e(s)$  is described as follows.

$$x_{d+1} = 2s_1x_1 + 2s_2x_2 + \dots + 2s_dx_d - s_1^2 - s_2^2 - \dots - s_d^2$$

Let  $E(s)$  denote the halfspace above  $e(s)$ . The farthest neighbor Voronoi diagram is the vertical projection of  $\bigcap_{s \in S} E(s)$  onto the hyperplane  $x_{d+1} = 0$ . By the Upper Bound Theorem, the complexity of  $\text{Vor}_f(S)$  is  $O(n^{\lceil n/2 \rceil})$ .