SQL: Transactions
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Announcements (Wed., Oct. 9)

• Gradiance SQL recursion exercise due tonight

• Project Milestone 1 due tonight!
  • Only one member of the group submit (as a group)
  • Be sure to include members.txt in your submission
  • Stay tuned for instructions on how to post weekly updates on Piazza

• Midterm grading almost done
  • Sample solution already posted on Sakai

• Homework 2 grades released
  • Sample solution already posted on Sakai
Transactions

• A transaction is a sequence of database operations with the following properties (ACID):
  • **Atomic**: Operations of a transaction are executed all-or-nothing, and are never left “half-done”
  • **Consistency**: Assume all database constraints are satisfied at the start of a transaction, they should remain satisfied at the end of the transaction
  • **Isolation**: Transactions must behave as if they were executed in complete isolation from each other
  • **Durability**: If the DBMS crashes after a transaction commits, all effects of the transaction must remain in the database when DBMS comes back up
SQL transactions

• A transaction is automatically started when a user executes an SQL statement
• Subsequent statements in the same session are executed as part of this transaction
  • Statements see changes made by earlier ones in the same transaction
  • Statements in other concurrently running transactions do not
• COMMIT command commits the transaction
  • Its effects are made final and visible to subsequent transactions
• ROLLBACK command aborts the transaction
  • Its effects are undone
Fine prints

• Schema operations (e.g., CREATE TABLE) implicitly commit the current transaction
  • Because it is often difficult to undo a schema operation

• Many DBMS support an AUTOCOMMIT feature, which automatically commits every single statement
  • You can turn it on/off through the API
  • For PostgreSQL:
    • psql command-line processor turns it on by default
    • You can turn it off at the psql prompt by typing: 
      \set AUTOCOMMIT 'off'
Atomicity

• Partial effects of a transaction must be undone when
  • User explicitly aborts the transaction using ROLLBACK
    • E.g., application asks for user confirmation in the last step and issues COMMIT or ROLLBACK depending on the response
  • The DBMS crashes before a transaction commits

• Partial effects of a modification statement must be undone when any constraint is violated
  • Some systems roll back only this statement and let the transaction continue; others roll back the whole transaction

• How is atomicity achieved?
  • Logging (to support undo)
Durability

• DBMS accesses data on stable storage by bringing data into memory
• Effects of committed transactions must survive DBMS crashes
• How is durability achieved?
  • Forcing all changes to disk at the end of every transaction?
    • Too expensive
  • Logging (to support redo)
Consistency

• Consistency of the database is guaranteed by constraints and triggers declared in the database and/or transactions themselves
  • Whenever inconsistency arises, abort the statement or transaction, or (with deferred constraint checking or application-enforced constraints) fix the inconsistency within the transaction
Isolation

• Transactions must appear to be executed in a serial schedule (with no interleaving operations)
• For performance, DBMS executes transactions using a serializable schedule
  • In this schedule, operations from different transactions can interleave and execute concurrently
  • But the schedule is guaranteed to produce the same effects as a serial schedule
• How is isolation achieved?
  • Locking, multi-version concurrency control, etc.
SQL isolation levels

• Strongest isolation level: SERIALIZABLE
  • Complete isolation

• Weaker isolation levels: REPEATABLE READ, READ COMMITTED, READ UNCOMMITTED
  • Increase performance by eliminating overhead and allowing higher degrees of concurrency
  • Trade-off: sometimes you get the “wrong” answer
READ UNCOMMITTED

• Can read “dirty” data
  • A data item is dirty if it is written by an uncommitted transaction

• Problem: What if the transaction that wrote the dirty data eventually aborts?

• Example: wrong average
  • -- T1:  -- T2:
    UPDATE User
    SET pop = 0.99
    WHERE uid = 142;

    SELECT AVG(pop)
    FROM User;

    ROLLBACK;

    COMMIT;
READ COMMITTED

• No dirty reads, but non-repeatable reads possible
  • Reading the same data item twice can produce different results

• Example: different averages
  • -- T1:
    UPDATE User
    SET pop = 0.99
    WHERE uid = 142;
    COMMIT;

  -- T2:
  SELECT AVG(pop)
  FROM User;

  SELECT AVG(pop)
  FROM User;
  COMMIT;
REPEATABLE READ

• Reads are repeatable, but may see **phantoms**
• Example: different average (still!)

  • -- T1: -- T2:
    SELECT AVG(pop) SELECT AVG(pop)
    FROM User; FROM User;

    INSERT INTO User
    VALUES(789, 'Nelson',
           10, 0.1);

    COMMIT; SELECT AVG(pop)
    COMMIT; FROM User;

    COMMIT;
Summary of SQL isolation levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolation level/anomaly</th>
<th>Dirty reads</th>
<th>Non-repeatable reads</th>
<th>Phantoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>READ UNCOMMITTED</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>READ COMMITTED</td>
<td>Impossible</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPEATABLE READ</td>
<td>Impossible</td>
<td>Impossible</td>
<td>Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERIALIZABLE</td>
<td>Impossible</td>
<td>Impossible</td>
<td>Impossible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Syntax: At the beginning of a transaction, `SET TRANSACTION ISOLATION LEVEL isolation_level [READ ONLY | READ WRITE];`
  • READ UNCOMMITTED can only be READ ONLY

• PostgreSQL defaults to READ COMMITTED
Transactions in programming

Using `psycopg2` as an example:

cconn = psycopg2.connect(dbname='beers')

cconn.set_session(isolation_level='SERIALIZABLE',
                  ready_only=False,
                  autocommit=True)

- `isolation_level` defaults to `READ COMMITTED`
- `read_only` defaults to `False`
- `autocommit` defaults to `False`

- When `autocommit` is `False`, commit/abort current transaction as follows:

  conn.commit()

  conn.rollback()
ANSI isolation levels are lock-based

- **READ UNCOMMITTED**
  - *Short-duration locks*: lock, access, release immediately

- **READ COMMITTED**
  - *Long-duration write locks*: do not release write locks until commit

- **REPEATABLE READ**
  - *Long-duration locks* on all data items accessed

- **SERIALIZABLE**
  - *Lock ranges* to prevent insertion as well
Isolation levels not based on locks?

Snapshot isolation in Oracle

• Based on multiversion concurrency control
  • Used in Oracle, PostgreSQL, MS SQL Server, etc.

• How it works
  • Transaction $X$ performs its operations on a private snapshot of the database taken at the start of $X$
  • $X$ can commit only if it does not write any data that has been also written by a transaction committed after the start of $X$

• Avoids all ANSI anomalies

• But is NOT equivalent to SERIALIZABLE because of write skew anomaly
Write skew example

• Constraint: combined balance $A + B \geq 0$
• $A = 100, B = 100$
• $T_1$ checks $A + B - 200 \geq 0$, and then proceeds to withdraw 200 from $A$
• $T_2$ checks $A + B - 200 \geq 0$, and then proceeds to withdraw 200 from $B$
• Possible under snapshot isolation because the writes (to $A$ and to $B$) do not conflict
• But $A + B = -200 < 0$ afterwards!

To avoid write skew, when committing, ensure the transaction didn’t read any object others wrote and committed after this transaction started
Bottom line

• Group reads and dependent writes into a transaction in your applications
  • E.g., enrolling a class, booking a ticket

• Anything less than SERIALABLE is potentially very dangerous
  • Use only when performance is critical
  • READ ONLY makes weaker isolation levels a bit safer